INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

international Application No
DE2004/000815

IPC 7	FICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER H01L23/544 H01L21/762				
Asserting to Interschool Palon Clonefration (IDC) at to both national classification and IPC					
	According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC B. FIELDS SEARCHED				
	cumentation searched (classification system followed by classification	symbols)			
IPC 7	H01L				
Documentat	ion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that su	ch documents are included in the fields sear	ched		
Electronic da	sta base consulted during the international search (name of data base	e and, where practical, search terms used)			
EPO-In	ternal, WPI Data, PAJ				
C. DOCUME	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the rele	vant passages	Relevant to claim No		
Y	US 6 306 755 B1 (ZHENG TAMMY) 23 October 2001 (2001-10-23) abstract; claim 1		1-19		
Υ	US 2002/088769 A1 (ANTAKI ROBERT 11 July 2002 (2002-07-11) page 1, paragraphs 5,10; figures		1-19		
Υ	US 6 403 389 B1 (DUBEY ABHAY ET 11 June 2002 (2002-06-11) figure 17b	AL)	1-19		
Furt	her documents are listed in the continuation of box C	X Patent family members are listed in	annex		
Special ca	* Special categories of cited documents "T* later document published after the international filing date				
'A' docume	'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention				
'E' earlier	considered to be of particular relevance invention 'E' earlier document but published on or after the international filling date 'L' document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to				
L. docume	ent which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or	involve an inventive step when the doc	ument is taken alone		
O" docum	which is cited to establish the publication date of another criation or other special reason (as specified) 'O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or 'Y' document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document.				
P. docum	other means 'P' document published prior to the international filting date but later than the priority date claimed 'R' document member of the same patent family '&' document member of the same patent family				
	actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search	ch report		
2	26 October 2004	09/11/2004			
Name and	mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2	Authorized officer			
	NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel (+31–70) 340–2040, Tx 31 651 epo ni, Fax (+31–70) 340–3016	Cortes Rosa, Joao			

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

information on patent family members

International Application No
International Application No PC/DE2004/000815

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date	
US 6306755	B1	23-10-2001	NONE			
US 2002088769	A1	11-07-2002	GB WO EP	2369187 A 0241388 A2 1340251 A2	22-05-2002 23-05-2002 03-09-2003	
US 6403389	B1	11-06-2002	US TW WO US US	6057171 A 442887 B 9916107 A2 6291254 B1 6312963 B1	02-05-2000 23-06-2001 01-04-1999 18-09-2001 06-11-2001	

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

Translation INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference			
11475p	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/ye	ear) Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/DE2004/000815	19.04.2004	17.04.2003	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or nati	onal classification and IPC		
Applicant	1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		
X-FAB SEMICONDUCTOR I	FOUNDRIES AG		
This report is the international prelin under Article 35 and transmitted to the	ninary examination report, established e applicant according to Article 36.	by this International Preliminary Examining Authority	
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	0	including this cover sheet.	
3. This report is also accompanied by A			
K-7	to the International Bureau) a total of	4 sheets, as follows:	
sheets of the descrip	otion, claims and/or drawings which ha	ve been amended and are the basis for this report and/or	
sheets containing re Instructions).	ctifications authorized by this Authorit	y (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative	
the disclosure in the	ede earlier sheets, but which this Auth e international application as filed, as	ority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental	
Box.			
b (sent to the International	Bureau only) a total of (indicate type as	nd number of electronic carrier(s))	
		, containing a sequence listing and/or tables	
related thereto, in computer Section 802 of the Adminis		ne Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see	
4. This report contains indications relati	ing to the following items:		
Box No. I Basis of the	; report		
Box No. II Priority	-		
	shment of aninian with regard to navel	lty, inventive step and industrial applicability	
		,	
	ity of invention	d to novelty inventive step or industrial applicability	
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
Box No. VI Certain doc	cuments cited		
Box No. VII Certain def	fects in the international application		
Box No. VIII Certain obs	servations on the international application	on	
Date of submission of the demand		etion of this report	
- All the second of the worlding		•	
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized off	icer	
Facsimile No	Telephone No.		

Box I	No. I	Basis of the report		
1.		regard to the language, this report is based on the internation ated under this item.	nal application in the language in	which it was filed, unless otherwise
		This report is based on translations from the original language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purpose.		,
		international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))		
		publication of the international application (Rule 12.4))	
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/	or 55.3)	
2.	recei	regard to the elements of the international application, this is viving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are report):		
		the international application as originally filed/furnished		
	\boxtimes	the description:		
		pages <u>1-9</u>		as originally filed/furnished
		pages*	received by this Authority on	
		pages*	received by this Authority on	
	\boxtimes	the claims:		
		nos.		as originally filed/furnished
		nos.*	as amended (togethe	er with any statement) under Article 19
		nos.* 1-19	received by this Authority on	18.05.2005 with telefax
		nos.*	received by this Authority on	
	\boxtimes	the drawings:		
		sheets 1/2,2/2		as originally filed/furnished
		sheets*	received by this Authority on	
		sheets*		
	П	a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplem		isting.
3.	\Box	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		Ü
J.	لـــا	the description, pages		
		the claims, nos.		
		the drawings, sheets/figs		
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amend they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as fi	ments annexed to this report and	listed below had not been made, since
			,	, , , , ,
		the claims, nos.		
		the drawings, sheets/figs		
		the sequence listing (specify):		
*	If ite	em 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "sup		

Box No. II	I Non-establishment of opinion v	vith regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:			
	the entire international application			
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 1-9			
becaus	e:			
	the said international application, or the seriate to the following subject matter which	aid claims Nos. ch does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):		
\boxtimes	the description, claims or drawings (indiare so unclear that no meaningful opinio	cate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 1-9		
	see supplemental			
	the claims, or said claims Nos.	are so inadequately supported		
	by the description that no meaningful or	inion could be formed.		
	no international search report has been e	stablished for said claims Nos.		
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequer Instructions in that:	ce listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative		
	the written form	has not been furnished		
	the computer readable form	does not comply with the standard has not been furnished does not comply with the standard		
	the tables related to the nucleotide and technical requirements provided for in A	or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the nnex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.		
	See Supplemental Box for further detail	s.		

Roy	No. V Reasoned stateme	nt under A	rticle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
DVA	citations and expl		pporting such statement	
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	10-19	YES
		Claims		NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	10-19	YES
		Claims		NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	10-19	YES
		Claims		
<u> </u>	Civilian and analysis (Pul	70.7		
2.	Citations and explanations (Rule	•	at a the fellowing	
		_	ort makes reference to the following	
	ava	ılable	e prior art documents:	
			-4 C C C C F F C C C C C C C C C C C C C	
	D1:		-B1-6 306 755 (ZHENG TAMMY) 23	
			tober 2001 (2001-10-23)	
	D2:		2002/088769 A1 (ANTAKI ROBERT ET AL)	
		11	July 2002 (2002-07-11)	
	D3:	US-	-B1-6 403 389 (DUBEY ABHAY ET AL) 11	
		Jui	ne 2002 (2002-06-11)	
	2. The	subje	ect matter of claim 10 appears to	
	mee	t the	PCT requirements with respect to	
	nov	elty	(PCT Article 33(2)) and inventive	
	ste	p (PC	T Article 33(3)):	
	2.1 D1	(see t	the abstract) describes the	
	sim	ultane	eous etching of functional structures	
	and	test	structures that have the same	
	dim	ensio	ns, for the purpose of determining	
	the	etch:	ing endpoint. The features of claim	
	-		e test structure consists of a row of	
		name:	ly that	

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

various widths, and that

- the etching endpoint is determined by verifying the electrical throughput, are not disclosed in D1.
- 2.2 D2 (see figures 1a-2b, and paragraphs 5 and 10) discloses a method for evaluating etching processes by means of a row of holes of increasing width. This document discloses neither the etching of test structures at the same time as functional structures nor the determination of etching endpoints by measuring the electrical throughput.
- 2.3 D3 (see figure 17b and the corresponding text) discloses resistance measurements in test structures for the purpose of determining etching endpoints. This document neither mentions nor renders obvious the simultaneous etching of functional structures and a row of islands surrounded by trenches of different widths.
- 2.4 Even if a person skilled in the art combined D1, D2 and D3, he would not arrive at the subject matter of claim 10, since none of these documents renders obvious the concept of the simultaneous etching of functional structures and a row of islands surrounded by trenches of different widths.

Box No. V	Reason citation	ed statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; s and explanations supporting such statement
	3.	Consequently, the subject matter of claims
		11-19, which are dependent on claim 10, is
		likewise regarded as novel (PCT Article
		33(2)) and inventive (PCT Article 33(3)).

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of:

Box III

- 1. Claim 1 lacks clarity (PCT Article 6) because it defines an entirely abstract product that is not reproducible, namely a "test structure". The descriptive features of the claim, to the extent that they can even be understood, lead the reader to imagine a method for verifying etchings of separation trenches wherein a test geometry is also etched, but it is entirely unclear what parts thereof should be regarded as the claimed, static product "test structure", and at what point during the process. Claim 1 creates legal uncertainty with respect to the scope of protection.
- 2. Claims 2 and 3 do not contain all of the essential features that are necessary for carrying out the invention (see points 2.1 and 2.2). Since these claims and claim 10 were drafted as separate, independent claims but actually appear to refer to one and the same subject matter and to differ from each other only by different definitions of the subject matter for which protection is sought, an examination of claims 2 and 3, which lack clarity, with respect to novelty and inventive step would not appear to be meaningful.

Supplemental Box

- 2.1 Claim 2 lacks clarity (PCT Article 6) because the meaning of a whole row of test islands having the same dimensions and geometry is incomprehensible. The claim appears to lack essential features that detail the dimensions of the test islands. It also lacks essential features that describe the insulation trench etchings and, in particular, features that establish a relationship between said etchings and the test islands and their dimensions.
- "electrical throughput is measured, in particular successively in each case, between a semiconductor island (A,B) and a disc area...surrounding...the semiconductor island" describes, namely whether all of the areas are measured successively, or whether several measurements are carried out successively in a particular area. In any case, it is clear from the application that both would be essential features. Claim 3 does not appear to contain all of the essential features necessary for carrying out the invention.